



On the structure of vector bundles over noncommutative tori

WCOAS 2012

Luef, Franz
University Vienna (visiting UC Berkeley)

October 21, 2012



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

M. A. Rieffel: Projective modules over higher-dimensional noncommutative tori. Canad. J. Math., 1988.

There are various applications in mathematics and physics

- Quantum field theory on projective modules
- Quantum theta functions
- T-duality in string theory
- Construction of projections in noncommutative tori
- Yang-Mills theory over noncommutative tori



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

M. A. Rieffel: Projective modules over higher-dimensional noncommutative tori. *Canad. J. Math.*, 1988.

There are various applications in mathematics and physics

- Quantum field theory on projective modules
- Quantum theta functions
- T-duality in string theory
- Construction of projections in noncommutative tori
- Yang-Mills theory over noncommutative tori



Noncommutative torus

- Let $\Theta = (\theta_{j,k})$ be a real skew-symmetric matrix and let $U_1, \dots, U_{2d}$ be unitary operators. Then the **noncommutative torus** $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ is defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by U_j 's satisfying

$$U_k U_j = e^{2\pi i \theta_{j,k}} U_j U_k.$$



Noncommutative torus

- Let $\Theta = (\theta_{j,k})$ be a real skew-symmetric matrix and let $U_1, \dots, U_{2d}$ be unitary operators. Then the **noncommutative torus** $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ is defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by U_j 's satisfying

$$U_k U_j = e^{2\pi i \theta_{j,k}} U_j U_k.$$

- $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ as **twisted group C^* -algebra** $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ of a lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$.



Noncommutative torus

- Let $\Theta = (\theta_{j,k})$ be a real skew-symmetric matrix and let $U_1, \dots, U_{2d}$ be unitary operators. Then the **noncommutative torus** $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ is defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by U_j 's satisfying

$$U_k U_j = e^{2\pi i \theta_{j,k}} U_j U_k.$$

- $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ as **twisted group C^* -algebra** $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ of a lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

Pick a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2d}\}$ for Λ . Then $\{U_{v_j}\}$ then $\theta_{j,k} = \Omega(v_j, v_k)$ for Ω the standard symplectic form



Noncommutative torus

- Let $\Theta = (\theta_{j,k})$ be a real skew-symmetric matrix and let $U_1, \dots, U_{2d}$ be unitary operators. Then the **noncommutative torus** $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ is defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by U_j 's satisfying

$$U_k U_j = e^{2\pi i \theta_{j,k}} U_j U_k.$$

- $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ as **twisted group C^* -algebra** $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ of a lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

Pick a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2d}\}$ for Λ . Then $\{U_{v_j}\}$ then

$\theta_{j,k} = \Omega(v_j, v_k)$ for Ω the standard symplectic form

$\Omega(z, z') = y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta$ for $z = (x, \omega)$ and $z' = (y, \eta)$.



Noncommutative torus

- Let $\Theta = (\theta_{j,k})$ be a real skew-symmetric matrix and let $U_1, \dots, U_{2d}$ be unitary operators. Then the **noncommutative torus** $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ is defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by U_j 's satisfying

$$U_k U_j = e^{2\pi i \theta_{j,k}} U_j U_k.$$

- $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ as **twisted group C^* -algebra** $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ of a lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

Pick a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2d}\}$ for Λ . Then $\{U_{v_j}\}$ then $\theta_{j,k} = \Omega(v_j, v_k)$ for Ω the standard symplectic form $\Omega(z, z') = y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta$ for $z = (x, \omega)$ and $z' = (y, \eta)$.



Noncommutative torus

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and c a continuous 2-cocycle with values in $\mathbb{T}$.

Then the **twisted group algebra** $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ is $\ell^1(\Lambda)$ with twisted convolution $\natural$ as multiplication and $*$ as involution.



Noncommutative torus

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and c a continuous 2-cocycle with values in $\mathbb{T}$.

Then the **twisted group algebra** $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ is $\ell^1(\Lambda)$ with twisted convolution $\natural$ as multiplication and $*$ as involution.

■ **Twisted convolution** of **a** and **b** is defined by

$$a \natural b(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} a(\mu) b(\lambda - \mu) c(\mu, \lambda - \mu) \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda,$$



Noncommutative torus

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and c a continuous 2-cocycle with values in $\mathbb{T}$.

Then the **twisted group algebra** $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ is $\ell^1(\Lambda)$ with twisted convolution $\natural$ as multiplication and $*$ as involution.

- **Twisted convolution** of **a** and **b** is defined by

$$a \natural b(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} a(\mu) b(\lambda - \mu) c(\mu, \lambda - \mu) \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda,$$

- **Twisted involution** of **a** given by

$$a^*(\lambda) = \overline{c(\lambda, \lambda) a(-\lambda)} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$



Noncommutative torus

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and c a continuous 2-cocycle with values in $\mathbb{T}$.

Then the **twisted group algebra** $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ is $\ell^1(\Lambda)$ with twisted convolution $\natural$ as multiplication and $*$ as involution.

- **Twisted convolution** of **a** and **b** is defined by

$$a \natural b(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} a(\mu) b(\lambda - \mu) c(\mu, \lambda - \mu) \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda,$$

- **Twisted involution** of **a** given by

$$a^*(\lambda) = \overline{c(\lambda, \lambda) a(-\lambda)} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

$C^*(\Lambda, c)$ is the enveloping C^* -algebra of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$.



Noncommutative torus

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and c a continuous 2-cocycle with values in $\mathbb{T}$.

Then the **twisted group algebra** $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ is $\ell^1(\Lambda)$ with twisted convolution $\natural$ as multiplication and $*$ as involution.

- **Twisted convolution** of **a** and **b** is defined by

$$a \natural b(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} a(\mu) b(\lambda - \mu) c(\mu, \lambda - \mu) \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda,$$

- **Twisted involution** of **a** given by

$$a^*(\lambda) = \overline{c(\lambda, \lambda) a(-\lambda)} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

$C^*(\Lambda, c)$ is the enveloping C^* -algebra of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$.


$$\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} = (a(\lambda)) \in \ell^1(\Lambda).$$

- $\pi_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}) = \pi_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{a})\pi_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{b})$



Representations of noncommutative torus

The mapping of $\lambda \mapsto \pi(\lambda)$ is a projective representation of Λ , which gives a non-degenerate involutive representation of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ by

$$\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} = (a(\lambda)) \in \ell^1(\Lambda).$$

- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}\sharp\mathbf{b}) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{b})$
- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}^*) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})^*$



Representations of noncommutative torus

The mapping of $\lambda \mapsto \pi(\lambda)$ is a projective representation of Λ , which gives a non-degenerate involutive representation of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ by

$$\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} = (a(\lambda)) \in \ell^1(\Lambda).$$

- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a} \sharp \mathbf{b}) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{b})$
- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}^*) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})^*$
- This involutive representation of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ is *faithful*,



Representations of noncommutative torus

The mapping of $\lambda \mapsto \pi(\lambda)$ is a projective representation of Λ , which gives a non-degenerate involutive representation of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ by

$$\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} = (a(\lambda)) \in \ell^1(\Lambda).$$

- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a} \sharp \mathbf{b}) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{b})$
- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}^*) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})^*$
- This involutive representation of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ is *faithful*,
 $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ implies $\mathbf{a} = 0$.



Representations of noncommutative torus

The mapping of $\lambda \mapsto \pi(\lambda)$ is a projective representation of Λ , which gives a non-degenerate involutive representation of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ by

$$\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} = (a(\lambda)) \in \ell^1(\Lambda).$$

- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a} \sharp \mathbf{b}) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{b})$
- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}^*) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})^*$
- This involutive representation of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ is *faithful*, $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ implies $\mathbf{a} = 0$.



Representations of noncommutative torus

The mapping of $\lambda \mapsto \pi(\lambda)$ is a projective representation of Λ , which gives a non-degenerate involutive representation of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ by

$$\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} = (a(\lambda)) \in \ell^1(\Lambda).$$

- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a} \sharp \mathbf{b}) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{b})$
- $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}^*) = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})^*$
- This involutive representation of $\ell^1(\Lambda, c)$ is *faithful*, $\pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ implies $\mathbf{a} = 0$.



Schrödinger representation

- **translation** $T_x f(t) = f(t - x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, **modulation** $M_\omega f(t) = e^{2\pi i t \cdot \omega} f(t)$ for $\omega \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$
- **time-frequency shift** $\pi(x, \omega) f(t) = M_\omega T_x f(t)$ for $(x, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} M_\omega T_x &= e^{2\pi i x \cdot \omega} T_x M_\omega \\ \pi(x + y, \omega + \eta) &= e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} \pi(x, \omega) \pi(y, \eta) \\ \pi(x, \omega) \pi(y, \eta) &= e^{2\pi i (y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta)} \pi(y, \eta) \pi(x, \omega) \end{aligned}$$



Schrödinger representation

- **translation** $T_x f(t) = f(t - x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, **modulation** $M_\omega f(t) = e^{2\pi i t \cdot \omega} f(t)$ for $\omega \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$
- **time-frequency shift** $\pi(x, \omega) f(t) = M_\omega T_x f(t)$ for $(x, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} M_\omega T_x &= e^{2\pi i x \cdot \omega} T_x M_\omega \\ \pi(x + y, \omega + \eta) &= e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} \pi(x, \omega) \pi(y, \eta) \\ \pi(x, \omega) \pi(y, \eta) &= e^{2\pi i (y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta)} \pi(y, \eta) \pi(x, \omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{symp}}((x, \omega), (y, \eta)) &= c((x, \omega), (y, \eta)) \bar{c}((y, \eta), (x, \omega)) \\ c((x, \omega), (y, \eta)) &= e^{2\pi i y \cdot \omega} \end{aligned}$$



Schrödinger representation

- **translation** $T_x f(t) = f(t - x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, **modulation** $M_\omega f(t) = e^{2\pi i t \cdot \omega} f(t)$ for $\omega \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$
- **time-frequency shift** $\pi(x, \omega) f(t) = M_\omega T_x f(t)$ for $(x, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} M_\omega T_x &= e^{2\pi i x \cdot \omega} T_x M_\omega \\ \pi(x + y, \omega + \eta) &= e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} \pi(x, \omega) \pi(y, \eta) \\ \pi(x, \omega) \pi(y, \eta) &= e^{2\pi i (y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta)} \pi(y, \eta) \pi(x, \omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{symp}}((x, \omega), (y, \eta)) &= c((x, \omega), (y, \eta)) \bar{c}((y, \eta), (x, \omega)) \\ c((x, \omega), (y, \eta)) &= e^{2\pi i y \cdot \omega} \end{aligned}$$



Schrödinger representation

- **translation** $T_x f(t) = f(t - x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, **modulation** $M_\omega f(t) = e^{2\pi i t \cdot \omega} f(t)$ for $\omega \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$
- **time-frequency shift** $\pi(x, \omega) f(t) = M_\omega T_x f(t)$ for $(x, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} M_\omega T_x &= e^{2\pi i x \cdot \omega} T_x M_\omega \\ \pi(x + y, \omega + \eta) &= e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} \pi(x, \omega) \pi(y, \eta) \\ \pi(x, \omega) \pi(y, \eta) &= e^{2\pi i (y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta)} \pi(y, \eta) \pi(x, \omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{symp}}((x, \omega), (y, \eta)) &= c((x, \omega), (y, \eta)) \bar{c}((y, \eta), (x, \omega)) \\ c((x, \omega), (y, \eta)) &= e^{2\pi i y \cdot \omega} \end{aligned}$$



Spectrally invariant subalgebras of $C^*(\Lambda, c)$

$$\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) : A = \sum_{\lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda), \|\mathbf{a}\|_{\ell_s^1} < \infty\}$$

smooth noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$



Spectrally invariant subalgebras of $C^*(\Lambda, c)$

$$\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) : A = \sum_{\lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda), \|\mathbf{a}\|_{\ell_s^1} < \infty\}$$

smooth noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$

Theorem:

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ are spectrally invariant subalgebras of the **noncommutative torus** $C^*(\Lambda, c)$.



Spectrally invariant subalgebras of $C^*(\Lambda, c)$

$$\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) : A = \sum_{\lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda), \|\mathbf{a}\|_{\ell_s^1} < \infty\}$$

smooth noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$

Theorem:

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ are spectrally invariant subalgebras of the **noncommutative torus** $C^*(\Lambda, c)$.

Replace $v_s = (1 + |x|^2 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2}$ by a submultiplicative weight. Then $\mathcal{A}_v^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ is spectrally invariant in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if v is a Gelfand-Raikov-Shilov-weight, i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(n\lambda)^{1/n} = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.



Spectrally invariant subalgebras of $C^*(\Lambda, c)$

$$\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) : A = \sum_{\lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda), \|\mathbf{a}\|_{\ell_s^1} < \infty\}$$

smooth noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$

Theorem:

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ are spectrally invariant subalgebras of the **noncommutative torus** $C^*(\Lambda, c)$.

Replace $v_s = (1 + |x|^2 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2}$ by a submultiplicative weight. Then $\mathcal{A}_v^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ is spectrally invariant in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if v is a Gelfand-Raikov-Shilov-weight, i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(n\lambda)^{1/n} = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.



Spectrally invariant subalgebras of $C^*(\Lambda, c)$

$$\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) : A = \sum_{\lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda), \|\mathbf{a}\|_{\ell_s^1} < \infty\}$$

smooth noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$

Theorem:

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ are spectrally invariant subalgebras of the **noncommutative torus** $C^*(\Lambda, c)$.

Replace $v_s = (1 + |x|^2 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2}$ by a submultiplicative weight. Then $\mathcal{A}_v^1(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ is spectrally invariant in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if v is a Gelfand-Raikov-Shilov-weight, i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(n\lambda)^{1/n} = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.



Maps on Hilbert C^* -modules

- Suppose V is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then a module mapping $T : V \rightarrow V$ is **adjointable**, if there is a mapping $T^* : V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}\langle Tf, g \rangle = \mathcal{A}\langle f, T^*g \rangle \text{ for all } f, g \in V.$$

$\mathcal{L}(V)$ denotes the space of all adjointable mappings on V .

- We define the norm of T by
$$\|T\| = \sup\{\mathcal{A}\|Tg\| : \mathcal{A}\|g\| \leq 1\}.$$



Maps on Hilbert C^* -modules

- Suppose V is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then a module mapping $T : V \rightarrow V$ is **adjointable**, if there is a mapping $T^* : V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}\langle Tf, g \rangle = \mathcal{A}\langle f, T^*g \rangle \text{ for all } f, g \in V.$$

$\mathcal{L}(V)$ denotes the space of all adjointable mappings on V .

- We define the norm of T by
$$\|T\| = \sup\{\mathcal{A}\|Tg\| : \mathcal{A}\|g\| \leq 1\}.$$
- $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is a C^* -algebra with respect to this norm.



Maps on Hilbert C^* -modules

- Suppose V is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then a module mapping $T : V \rightarrow V$ is **adjointable**, if there is a mapping $T^* : V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}\langle Tf, g \rangle = \mathcal{A}\langle f, T^*g \rangle \text{ for all } f, g \in V.$$

$\mathcal{L}(V)$ denotes the space of all adjointable mappings on V .

- We define the norm of T by
$$\|T\| = \sup\{\mathcal{A}\|Tg\| : \mathcal{A}\|g\| \leq 1\}.$$
- $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is a C^* -algebra with respect to this norm.
- **rank one operators** $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} f = \mathcal{A}\langle f, g \rangle \cdot h$ are adjointable operators.



Maps on Hilbert C^* -modules

- Suppose V is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then a module mapping $T : V \rightarrow V$ is **adjointable**, if there is a mapping $T^* : V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}\langle Tf, g \rangle = \mathcal{A}\langle f, T^*g \rangle \text{ for all } f, g \in V.$$

$\mathcal{L}(V)$ denotes the space of all adjointable mappings on V .

- We define the norm of T by
$$\|T\| = \sup\{\mathcal{A}\|Tg\| : \mathcal{A}\|g\| \leq 1\}.$$
- $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is a C^* -algebra with respect to this norm.
- **rank one operators** $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} f = \mathcal{A}\langle f, g \rangle \cdot h$ are adjointable operators.
- The closed linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ spanned by $\{\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} : f, g \in V\}$ is the **algebra of compact $\mathcal{A}$ -module operators**.



Maps on Hilbert C^* -modules

- Suppose V is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then a module mapping $T : V \rightarrow V$ is **adjointable**, if there is a mapping $T^* : V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}\langle Tf, g \rangle = \mathcal{A}\langle f, T^*g \rangle \text{ for all } f, g \in V.$$

$\mathcal{L}(V)$ denotes the space of all adjointable mappings on V .

- We define the norm of T by
$$\|T\| = \sup\{\mathcal{A}\|Tg\| : \mathcal{A}\|g\| \leq 1\}.$$
- $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is a C^* -algebra with respect to this norm.
- **rank one operators** $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} f = \mathcal{A}\langle f, g \rangle \cdot h$ are adjointable operators.
- The closed linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ spanned by $\{\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} : f, g \in V\}$ is the **algebra of compact $\mathcal{A}$ -module operators**.



Properties of rank one Hilbert module operators

- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} \Theta_{g',h'}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{k,h}^{\mathcal{A}}$ for $k = \mathcal{A}\langle g, h' \rangle g'$
- $(\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}})^* = \Theta_{h,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$



Properties of rank one Hilbert module operators

- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} \Theta_{g',h'}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{k,h}^{\mathcal{A}}$ for $k = \mathcal{A}\langle g, h' \rangle g'$
- $(\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}})^* = \Theta_{h,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$
- For $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ we have $T\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{g,Th}^{\mathcal{A}}$.



Properties of rank one Hilbert module operators

- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} \Theta_{g',h'}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{k,h}^{\mathcal{A}}$ for $k = \mathcal{A}\langle g, h' \rangle g'$
- $(\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}})^* = \Theta_{h,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$
- For $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ we have $T\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{g,Th}^{\mathcal{A}}$.
- $\|\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq \mathcal{A}\|g\|_{\mathcal{A}}\|h\|.$



Properties of rank one Hilbert module operators

- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} \Theta_{g',h'}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{k,h}^{\mathcal{A}}$ for $k = \mathcal{A}\langle g, h' \rangle g'$
- $(\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}})^* = \Theta_{h,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$
- For $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ we have $T\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{g,Th}^{\mathcal{A}}$.
- $\|\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq \mathcal{A}\|g\|_{\mathcal{A}}\|h\|$.
- Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is unital and $\mathcal{A}\langle g, g \rangle = I$, then $\|\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}}\| = \mathcal{A}\|h\|$.



Properties of rank one Hilbert module operators

- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} \Theta_{g',h'}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{k,h}^{\mathcal{A}}$ for $k = \mathcal{A}\langle g, h' \rangle g'$
- $(\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}})^* = \Theta_{h,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$
- For $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ we have $T\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{g,Th}^{\mathcal{A}}$.
- $\|\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq \mathcal{A}\|g\|_{\mathcal{A}}\|h\|$.
- Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is unital and $\mathcal{A}\langle g, g \rangle = I$, then $\|\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}}\| = \mathcal{A}\|h\|$.

We denote the **unit sphere** of $_{\mathcal{A}}V$ by
 $S(_{\mathcal{A}}V) = \{g \in _{\mathcal{A}}V : \mathcal{A}\langle g, g \rangle = I\}$.



Properties of rank one Hilbert module operators

- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} \Theta_{g',h'}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{k,h}^{\mathcal{A}}$ for $k = \mathcal{A}\langle g, h' \rangle g'$
- $(\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}})^* = \Theta_{h,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$
- For $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ we have $T\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}} = \Theta_{g,Th}^{\mathcal{A}}$.
- $\|\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq \mathcal{A}\|g\|_{\mathcal{A}}\|h\|$.
- Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is unital and $\mathcal{A}\langle g, g \rangle = I$, then $\|\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{A}}\| = \mathcal{A}\|h\|$.

We denote the **unit sphere** of $_{\mathcal{A}}V$ by
 $S(_{\mathcal{A}}V) = \{g \in _{\mathcal{A}}V : \mathcal{A}\langle g, g \rangle = I\}$.



Frames for Hilbert C^* -modules

For the sake of simplicity I restrict my discussion to the case of **finitely** generated Hilbert C^* -modules.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra. A sequence $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ in a (left) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$ is called a **standard module frame** if there are positive reals C, D such that

$$C {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f \rangle \leq \sum_{j=1}^n {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, g_j \rangle {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle g_j, f \rangle \leq D {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f \rangle$$

for each $f \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}V$.



Frames for Hilbert C^* -modules

For the sake of simplicity I restrict my discussion to the case of **finitely** generated Hilbert C^* -modules.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra. A sequence $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ in a (left) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$ is called a **standard module frame** if there are positive reals C, D such that

$$C {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f \rangle \leq \sum_{j=1}^n {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, g_j \rangle {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle g_j, f \rangle \leq D {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f \rangle$$

for each $f \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}V$.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra. A sequence $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ in a (left) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$ is a **standard module frame** if the **reconstruction formula**

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, g_j \rangle \cdot g_j \quad \text{for all } f \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}V.$$



Frames for Hilbert C^* -modules

For the sake of simplicity I restrict my discussion to the case of **finitely** generated Hilbert C^* -modules.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra. A sequence $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ in a (left) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$ is called a **standard module frame** if there are positive reals C, D such that

$$C {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f \rangle \leq \sum_{j=1}^n {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, g_j \rangle {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle g_j, f \rangle \leq D {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f \rangle$$

for each $f \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}V$.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra. A sequence $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ in a (left) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$ is a **standard module frame** if the **reconstruction formula**

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, g_j \rangle \cdot g_j \quad \text{for all } f \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}V.$$



Frames for Hilbert C^* -module

- The existence of a standard module frame of finite cardinality is equivalent to ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$ being a projective module, i.e it can be embedded into $\mathcal{A}^n$ as a direct summand.
- In terms of rank one Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module operators the definition of a frame becomes:

$$C_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f \rangle \leq \sum_{j=1}^n {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle \Theta_{g_j, g_j}^{\mathcal{A}} f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \leq D_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f \rangle.$$



Frames for Hilbert C^* -module

- The existence of a standard module frame of finite cardinality is equivalent to ${}_A V$ being a projective module, i.e it can be embedded into $\mathcal{A}^n$ as a direct summand.
- In terms of rank one Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module operators the definition of a frame becomes:

$$C_{{}_A V} \langle f, f \rangle \leq \sum_{j=1}^n {}_A \langle \Theta_{g_j, g_j}^A f, f \rangle_{{}_A V} \leq D_{{}_A V} \langle f, f \rangle.$$

- One has the following: A sequence $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ in a (left) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_A V$ is a frame if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^n {}_A \Theta_{g_j, g_j}^A$ converges in the strict topology to a bounded invertible operator in $\mathcal{L}({}_A V)$.



Frames for Hilbert C^* -module

- The existence of a standard module frame of finite cardinality is equivalent to ${}_A V$ being a projective module, i.e it can be embedded into $\mathcal{A}^n$ as a direct summand.
- In terms of rank one Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module operators the definition of a frame becomes:

$$C_{{}_A V} \langle f, f \rangle \leq \sum_{j=1}^n {}_A \langle \Theta_{g_j, g_j}^A f, f \rangle_{{}_A V} \leq D_{{}_A V} \langle f, f \rangle.$$

- One has the following: A sequence $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ in a (left) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_A V$ is a frame if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^n {}_A \Theta_{g_j, g_j}^A$ converges in the strict topology to a bounded invertible operator in $\mathcal{L}({}_A V)$.



Frames for Hilbert C^* -module

- Suppose ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$ is a finitely generated Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then any set of generators $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a standard module frame. The number of the shortest frame gives the number of factors of $\mathcal{A}^n$ into which ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$ is embeddable.
- In other words, the positive module operator $S = \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{g_j, g_j}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is invertible and the upper and lower frame bounds are given by $\|S\|^2$ and $\|S^{-1}\|^{-2}$.
- In particular, ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$ is singly generated if $\Theta_{g, g}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is invertible.



Frames for Hilbert C^* -module

- Suppose ${}_A V$ is a finitely generated Hilbert A -module. Then any set of generators $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a standard module frame. The number of the shortest frame gives the number of factors of A^n into which ${}_A V$ is embeddable.
- In other words, the positive module operator $S = \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{g_j, g_j}^A$ is invertible and the upper and lower frame bounds are given by $\|S\|^2$ and $\|S^{-1}\|^{-2}$.
- In particular, ${}_A V$ is singly generated if $\Theta_{g, g}^A$ is invertible.
- Is there a way to generate finitely generated Hilbert C^* -modules?



Frames for Hilbert C^* -module

- Suppose ${}_A V$ is a finitely generated Hilbert A -module. Then any set of generators $\{g_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a standard module frame. The number of the shortest frame gives the number of factors of A^n into which ${}_A V$ is embeddable.
- In other words, the positive module operator $S = \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{g_j, g_j}^A$ is invertible and the upper and lower frame bounds are given by $\|S\|^2$ and $\|S^{-1}\|^{-2}$.
- In particular, ${}_A V$ is singly generated if $\Theta_{g, g}^A$ is invertible.
- **Is there a way to generate finitely generated Hilbert C^* -modules?**



Morita-Rieffel equivalence

Rieffel introduced in 1970's the notion of **strong Morita equivalence** for C^* -algebras:

Definition:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be C^* -algebras. Then an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule such that:



Morita-Rieffel equivalence

Rieffel introduced in 1970's the notion of **strong Morita equivalence** for C^* -algebras:

Definition:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be C^* -algebras. Then an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule such that:

- (a) ${}_A V_B$ is a full left Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module and a full right Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$ -module;



Morita-Rieffel equivalence

Rieffel introduced in 1970's the notion of **strong Morita equivalence** for C^* -algebras:

Definition:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be C^* -algebras. Then an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule such that:

- (a) ${}_A V_B$ is a full left Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module and a full right Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$ -module;
- (b) for all $f, g \in {}_A V_B$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we have that $\langle A \cdot f, g \rangle_B = \langle f, A^* \cdot g \rangle_B$ and ${}_A \langle f \cdot B, g \rangle = {}_A \langle f, g \cdot B^* \rangle$;



Morita-Rieffel equivalence

Rieffel introduced in 1970's the notion of **strong Morita equivalence** for C^* -algebras:

Definition:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be C^* -algebras. Then an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule such that:

- (a) ${}_A V_B$ is a full left Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module and a full right Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$ -module;
- (b) for all $f, g \in {}_A V_B$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we have that $\langle A \cdot f, g \rangle_B = \langle f, A^* \cdot g \rangle_B$ and ${}_A \langle f \cdot B, g \rangle = {}_A \langle f, g \cdot B^* \rangle$;
- (c) for all $f, g, h \in {}_A V_B$, ${}_A \langle f, g \rangle \cdot h = f \cdot \langle g, h \rangle_B$.

The C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are called *Morita-Rieffel equivalent* if there exists an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ equivalence bimodule.



Morita-Rieffel equivalence

Rieffel introduced in 1970's the notion of **strong Morita equivalence** for C^* -algebras:

Definition:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be C^* -algebras. Then an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule such that:

- (a) ${}_A V_B$ is a full left Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module and a full right Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$ -module;
- (b) for all $f, g \in {}_A V_B$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we have that $\langle A \cdot f, g \rangle_B = \langle f, A^* \cdot g \rangle_B$ and ${}_A \langle f \cdot B, g \rangle = {}_A \langle f, g \cdot B^* \rangle$;
- (c) for all $f, g, h \in {}_A V_B$, ${}_A \langle f, g \rangle \cdot h = f \cdot \langle g, h \rangle_B$.

The C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are called *Morita-Rieffel equivalent* if there exists an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ equivalence bimodule.



Morita-Rieffel equivalence - Consequences

- In words, Condition (b) says that $\mathcal{A}$ acts by adjointable operators on $V_{\mathcal{B}}$ and that $\mathcal{B}$ acts by adjointable operators on ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$,
- Condition (c) is an associativity condition between the $\mathcal{A}$ -inner product and the $\mathcal{B}$ -inner product.
- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{B}} f = f \cdot \langle g, h \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a rank one $\mathcal{B}$ -module operator. Consequently, the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivalent to the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}}$. Note that this amounts to $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}} f = f \cdot \langle g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, i.e. f and g are “uncoupled”.



Morita-Rieffel equivalence - Consequences

- In words, Condition (b) says that $\mathcal{A}$ acts by adjointable operators on $V_{\mathcal{B}}$ and that $\mathcal{B}$ acts by adjointable operators on ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$,
- Condition (c) is an associativity condition between the $\mathcal{A}$ -inner product and the $\mathcal{B}$ -inner product.
- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{B}}f = f \cdot \langle g, h \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a rank one $\mathcal{B}$ -module operator. Consequently, the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivalent to the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}}$. Note that this amounts to $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}}f = f \cdot \langle g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, i.e. f and g are “uncoupled”.
- If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are Morita-Rieffel equivalent, then ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a projective left $\mathcal{A}$ -module, and a projective right $\mathcal{B}$ -module.



Morita-Rieffel equivalence - Consequences

- In words, Condition (b) says that $\mathcal{A}$ acts by adjointable operators on $V_{\mathcal{B}}$ and that $\mathcal{B}$ acts by adjointable operators on ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$,
- Condition (c) is an associativity condition between the $\mathcal{A}$ -inner product and the $\mathcal{B}$ -inner product.
- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{B}} f = f \cdot \langle g, h \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a rank one $\mathcal{B}$ -module operator. Consequently, the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivalent to the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}}$. Note that this amounts to $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}} f = f \cdot \langle g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, i.e. f and g are “uncoupled”.
- If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are Morita-Rieffel equivalent, then ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a projective left $\mathcal{A}$ -module, and a projective right $\mathcal{B}$ -module. There exist $g_1, \dots, g_n \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, g_j \rangle \cdot g_j = \sum_{j=1}^n f \cdot \langle g_j, g_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$$



Morita-Rieffel equivalence - Consequences

- In words, Condition (b) says that $\mathcal{A}$ acts by adjointable operators on $V_{\mathcal{B}}$ and that $\mathcal{B}$ acts by adjointable operators on ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$,
- Condition (c) is an associativity condition between the $\mathcal{A}$ -inner product and the $\mathcal{B}$ -inner product.
- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{B}}f = f \cdot \langle g, h \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a rank one $\mathcal{B}$ -module operator. Consequently, the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivalent to the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}}$. Note that this amounts to $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}}f = f \cdot \langle g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, i.e. f and g are “uncoupled”.
- If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are Morita-Rieffel equivalent, then ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a projective left $\mathcal{A}$ -module, and a projective right $\mathcal{B}$ -module. There exist $g_1, \dots, g_n \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, g_j \rangle \cdot g_j = \sum_{j=1}^n f \cdot \langle g_j, g_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$$



Morita-Rieffel equivalence - Consequences

- In words, Condition (b) says that $\mathcal{A}$ acts by adjointable operators on $V_{\mathcal{B}}$ and that $\mathcal{B}$ acts by adjointable operators on ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$,
- Condition (c) is an associativity condition between the $\mathcal{A}$ -inner product and the $\mathcal{B}$ -inner product.
- $\Theta_{g,h}^{\mathcal{B}} f = f \cdot \langle g, h \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a rank one $\mathcal{B}$ -module operator. Consequently, the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivalent to the invertibility of $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}}$. Note that this amounts to $\Theta_{g,g}^{\mathcal{B}} f = f \cdot \langle g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, i.e. f and g are “uncoupled”.
- If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are Morita-Rieffel equivalent, then ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a projective left $\mathcal{A}$ -module, and a projective right $\mathcal{B}$ -module. There exist $g_1, \dots, g_n \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, g_j \rangle \cdot g_j = \sum_{j=1}^n f \cdot \langle g_j, g_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$$



Morita-Rieffel equivalence - Consequences

- The compact $\mathcal{A}$ -module operators are isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}$.
- Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ has a normalized trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then we can introduce a trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}(\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \langle g, f \rangle).$$



Morita-Rieffel equivalence - Consequences

- The compact $\mathcal{A}$ -module operators are isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}$.
- Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ has a normalized trace $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then we can introduce a trace $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}(\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}) = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}\langle g, f \rangle).$$

- $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is not normalized and $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}(I) = \dim({}_{\mathcal{A}}V)$, the dimension of the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$.



Morita-Rieffel equivalence - Consequences

- The compact $\mathcal{A}$ -module operators are isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}$.
- Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ has a normalized trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then we can introduce a trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}(\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}\langle g, f \rangle).$$

- $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is not normalized and $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}(I) = \dim({}_{\mathcal{A}}V)$, the dimension of the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$.



Morita-Rieffel equivalence - Consequences

- The compact $\mathcal{A}$ -module operators are isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}$.
- Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ has a normalized trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then we can introduce a trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}(\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}\langle g, f \rangle).$$

- $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is not normalized and $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{B}}(I) = \dim({}_{\mathcal{A}}V)$, the dimension of the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V$.



Morita equivalent spectrally invariant subalgebras

Recall that a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with common unit is called *spectrally invariant*, if for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ one actually has that $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^* -algebras that are Morita equivalent via an equivalence bimodule ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{B}}$.



Morita equivalent spectrally invariant subalgebras

Recall that a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with common unit is called *spectrally invariant*, if for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ one actually has that $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^* -algebras that are Morita equivalent via an equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$. Suppose we have dense $*$ -Banach (or Frechet) subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively containing the identity elements.



Morita equivalent spectrally invariant subalgebras

Recall that a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with common unit is called *spectrally invariant*, if for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ one actually has that $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^* -algebras that are Morita equivalent via an equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$. Suppose we have dense $*$ -Banach (or Frechet) subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively containing the identity elements. Furthermore we assume that $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ are spectrally invariant in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively.



Morita equivalent spectrally invariant subalgebras

Recall that a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with common unit is called *spectrally invariant*, if for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ one actually has that $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^* -algebras that are Morita equivalent via an equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$. Suppose we have dense $*$ -Banach (or Frechet) subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively containing the identity elements. Furthermore we assume that $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ are spectrally invariant in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Let V_0 be a dense subspace of ${}_A V_B$ which is closed under the actions of $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$, and such that the restrictions of the inner products ${}_A \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ have values in $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ respectively.



Morita equivalent spectrally invariant subalgebras

Recall that a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with common unit is called *spectrally invariant*, if for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ one actually has that $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^* -algebras that are Morita equivalent via an equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$. Suppose we have dense $*$ -Banach (or Frechet) subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively containing the identity elements. Furthermore we assume that $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ are spectrally invariant in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Let V_0 be a dense subspace of ${}_A V_B$ which is closed under the actions of $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$, and such that the restrictions of the inner products ${}_A \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ have values in $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ respectively. Then V_0 is a finitely generated projective left $\mathcal{A}_0$ -module.



Morita equivalent spectrally invariant subalgebras

Recall that a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with common unit is called *spectrally invariant*, if for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ one actually has that $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^* -algebras that are Morita equivalent via an equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$. Suppose we have dense $*$ -Banach (or Frechet) subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively containing the identity elements. Furthermore we assume that $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ are spectrally invariant in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Let V_0 be a dense subspace of ${}_A V_B$ which is closed under the actions of $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$, and such that the restrictions of the inner products ${}_A \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ have values in $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ respectively. Then V_0 is a finitely generated projective left $\mathcal{A}_0$ -module. In addition we have that V_0 is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module.



Morita equivalent spectrally invariant subalgebras

Recall that a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with common unit is called *spectrally invariant*, if for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ one actually has that $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^* -algebras that are Morita equivalent via an equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$. Suppose we have dense $*$ -Banach (or Frechet) subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively containing the identity elements. Furthermore we assume that $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ are spectrally invariant in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Let V_0 be a dense subspace of ${}_A V_B$ which is closed under the actions of $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$, and such that the restrictions of the inner products ${}_A \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ have values in $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ respectively. Then V_0 is a finitely generated projective left $\mathcal{A}_0$ -module. In addition we have that V_0 is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module.



Morita equivalent spectrally invariant subalgebras

Recall that a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with common unit is called *spectrally invariant*, if for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ one actually has that $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^* -algebras that are Morita equivalent via an equivalence bimodule ${}_A V_B$. Suppose we have dense $*$ -Banach (or Frechet) subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively containing the identity elements. Furthermore we assume that $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ are spectrally invariant in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Let V_0 be a dense subspace of ${}_A V_B$ which is closed under the actions of $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$, and such that the restrictions of the inner products ${}_A \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ have values in $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ respectively. Then V_0 is a finitely generated projective left $\mathcal{A}_0$ -module. In addition we have that V_0 is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module.



Rieffel's construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori

Left action of $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$D_{\mathbf{a}}g = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \cdot g = \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \right] g \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} \in (\Lambda), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$



Rieffel's construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori

Left action of $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$D_{\mathbf{a}}g = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \cdot g = \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \right] g \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} \in (\Lambda), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$



Rieffel's construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori

Left action of $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$D_{\mathbf{a}}g = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \cdot g = \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \right] g \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} \in (\Lambda), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\blacksquare \quad \wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \wedge \langle g, f \rangle^*$$



Rieffel's construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori

Left action of $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$D_{\mathbf{a}}g = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \cdot g = \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \right] g \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} \in (\Lambda), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

- $\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \wedge \langle g, f \rangle^*$
- $\wedge \langle \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})f, g \rangle = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \wedge \langle f, g \rangle$



Rieffel's construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori

Left action of $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$D_{\mathbf{a}}g = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \cdot g = \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \right] g \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} \in (\Lambda), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

- $\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \wedge \langle g, f \rangle^*$
- $\wedge \langle \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})f, g \rangle = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \wedge \langle f, g \rangle$
- $\wedge \langle f, f \rangle$ is positive in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$, actually in $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$



Rieffel's construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori

Left action of $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$D_{\mathbf{a}}g = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \cdot g = \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \right] g \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} \in (\Lambda), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

- $\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \wedge \langle g, f \rangle^*$
- $\wedge \langle \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})f, g \rangle = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \wedge \langle f, g \rangle$
- $\wedge \langle f, f \rangle$ is positive in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$, actually in $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$



Rieffel's construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori

Left action of $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$D_{\mathbf{a}}g = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \cdot g = \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \right] g \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} \in (\Lambda), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

- $\wedge \langle f, g \rangle = \wedge \langle g, f \rangle^*$
- $\wedge \langle \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a})f, g \rangle = \pi_\Lambda(\mathbf{a}) \wedge \langle f, g \rangle$
- $\wedge \langle f, f \rangle$ is positive in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$, actually in $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$



Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ -module

Theorem:

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ becomes a full left Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ -module ${}_{\Lambda}V$ w.r.t to right action on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Lambda}$ when completed w.r.t. $\|g\|_{\Lambda} = \|\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda}\|_{\text{op}}^{1/2}$.

- What C^* -algebra is Morita-Rieffel equivalent to $C^*(\Lambda, c)$?
- What operators are commuting with elements in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$?
- commutation relations:

$$\pi(\lambda)\pi(z)\pi(\lambda)^{-1}\pi(z)^{-1} = e^{2\pi i\Omega(z,\lambda)}$$

for $z = (x, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.



Adjoint lattice

Observe that the characters of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ are of the form $z \mapsto \chi_s(z) = e^{2\pi i \Omega(z, z')}$ for some $z' \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$, where the standard symplectic form Ω of $z = (x, \omega)$ and $z' = (y, \eta)$ is defined by



Adjoint lattice

Observe that the characters of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ are of the form $z \mapsto \chi_s(z) = e^{2\pi i \Omega(z, z')}$ for some $z' \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$, where the standard symplectic form Ω of $z = (x, \omega)$ and $z' = (y, \eta)$ is defined by

$$\Omega(z, z') = y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta.$$

Consequently, the dual group of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$.



Adjoint lattice

Observe that the characters of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ are of the form $z \mapsto \chi_s(z) = e^{2\pi i \Omega(z, z')}$ for some $z' \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$, where the standard symplectic form Ω of $z = (x, \omega)$ and $z' = (y, \eta)$ is defined by

$$\Omega(z, z') = y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta.$$

Consequently, the dual group of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. In terms of the Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ the symplectic form Ω can be expressed as follows:
pause

$$\Omega(z, z') = \langle Jz, z' \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$



Adjoint lattice

Observe that the characters of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ are of the form $z \mapsto \chi_s(z) = e^{2\pi i \Omega(z, z')}$ for some $z' \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$, where the standard symplectic form Ω of $z = (x, \omega)$ and $z' = (y, \eta)$ is defined by

$$\Omega(z, z') = y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta.$$

Consequently, the dual group of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. In terms of the Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ the symplectic form Ω can be expressed as follows:
pause

$$\Omega(z, z') = \langle Jz, z' \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Lambda^\circ = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : e^{2\pi i \Omega(\lambda, z)} = 1 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$



Adjoint lattice

Observe that the characters of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ are of the form $z \mapsto \chi_s(z) = e^{2\pi i \Omega(z, z')}$ for some $z' \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$, where the standard symplectic form Ω of $z = (x, \omega)$ and $z' = (y, \eta)$ is defined by

$$\Omega(z, z') = y \cdot \omega - x \cdot \eta.$$

Consequently, the dual group of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. In terms of the Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ the symplectic form Ω can be expressed as follows:
pause

$$\Omega(z, z') = \langle Jz, z' \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Lambda^\circ = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : e^{2\pi i \Omega(\lambda, z)} = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$



Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$ -module

There is an analogous result for the opposite C^* -algebra of $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, c)$, i.e. $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$.

$$g \cdot \pi_{\Lambda^\circ}(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \pi(\lambda^\circ)^* g \overline{b}(\lambda^\circ) \quad \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda^\circ), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$



Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$ -module

There is an analogous result for the opposite C^* -algebra of $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, c)$, i.e. $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$.

$$g \cdot \pi_{\Lambda^\circ}(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \pi(\lambda^\circ)^* g \overline{b}(\lambda^\circ) \quad \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda^\circ), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = \sum \pi(\lambda^\circ)^* \langle g, \pi(\lambda^\circ) f \rangle \quad f, g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$



Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$ -module

There is an analogous result for the opposite C^* -algebra of $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, c)$, i.e. $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$.

$$g \cdot \pi_{\Lambda^\circ}(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \pi(\lambda^\circ)^* g \overline{b}(\lambda^\circ) \quad \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda^\circ), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = \sum \pi(\lambda^\circ)^* \langle g, \pi(\lambda^\circ) f \rangle \quad f, g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ becomes a full right Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$ -module V_{Λ° w.r.t to right action on $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}$ when completed w.r.t. $\|f\|_{\Lambda^\circ} = \|\langle f, f \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}\|_{\text{op}}^{1/2}$.



Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$ -module

There is an analogous result for the opposite C^* -algebra of $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, c)$, i.e. $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$.

$$g \cdot \pi_{\Lambda^\circ}(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \pi(\lambda^\circ)^* g \overline{b}(\lambda^\circ) \quad \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda^\circ), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = \sum \pi(\lambda^\circ)^* \langle g, \pi(\lambda^\circ) f \rangle \quad f, g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ becomes a full right Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \overline{c})$ -module V_{Λ° w.r.t to right action on $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}$ when completed w.r.t. $\|f\|_{\Lambda^\circ} = \|\langle f, f \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}\|_{\text{op}}^{1/2}$.



Associativity condition

Poisson summation formula for the symplectic Fourier transform:

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\lambda) = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \hat{F}(\lambda^\circ).$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda)h, k \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \langle f, \pi(\lambda^\circ)k \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda^\circ)h, g \rangle.$$



Associativity condition

Poisson summation formula for the symplectic Fourier transform:

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\lambda) = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \hat{F}(\lambda^\circ).$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda)h, k \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \langle f, \pi(\lambda^\circ)k \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda^\circ)h, g \rangle.$$



Associativity condition

Poisson summation formula for the symplectic Fourier transform:

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\lambda) = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \hat{F}(\lambda^\circ).$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda)h, k \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \langle f, \pi(\lambda^\circ)k \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda^\circ)h, g \rangle.$$



Associativity condition

Poisson summation formula for the symplectic Fourier transform:

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\lambda) = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \hat{F}(\lambda^\circ).$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda)h, k \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \langle f, \pi(\lambda^\circ)k \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda^\circ)h, g \rangle.$$



Associativity Condition

- If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $V_g f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.
- Suppose $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Associativity Condition

- If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $V_g f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.
- Suppose $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$(\widehat{V_{g_1} f_1 \cdot V_{g_2} f_2})(\widehat{z}) = (\widehat{V_{f_2} f_1 \cdot V_{g_2} g_1})(\widehat{z})$$

Lemma:

For $f, g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda)h, \cdot \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \langle h, \pi(\lambda^\circ)g \rangle \pi(\lambda^\circ)f,$$

The inner products ${}_\Lambda \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}$ satisfy **Rieffel's associativity condition**



Associativity Condition

- If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $V_g f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.
- Suppose $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$(\widehat{V_{g_1} f_1 \cdot V_{g_2} f_2})(\widehat{z}) = (\widehat{V_{f_2} f_1} \cdot V_{g_2} g_1)(\widehat{z})$$

Lemma:

For $f, g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda) g \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda) h, \cdot \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \langle h, \pi(\lambda^\circ) g \rangle \pi(\lambda^\circ) f,$$

The inner products ${}_\Lambda \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}$ satisfy **Rieffel's associativity condition**

$${}_\Lambda \langle f, g \rangle \cdot h = f \langle g, h \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}$$



Associativity Condition

- If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $V_g f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.
- Suppose $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$(\widehat{V_{g_1} f_1 \cdot V_{g_2} f_2})(\widehat{z}) = (\widehat{V_{f_2} f_1} \cdot V_{g_2} g_1)(\widehat{z})$$

Lemma:

For $f, g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda) g \rangle \langle \pi(\lambda) h, \cdot \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} \langle h, \pi(\lambda^\circ) g \rangle \pi(\lambda^\circ) f,$$

The inner products ${}_\Lambda \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}$ satisfy **Rieffel's associativity condition**

$${}_\Lambda \langle f, g \rangle \cdot h = f \langle g, h \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}$$



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

Theorem:

$C^*(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$ are Morita-Rieffel equivalent.
Moreover, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an equivalence bimodule between $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$.

Theorem:

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$, i.e. there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle \cdot g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f \langle g_i, g_i \rangle \wedge^\circ.$$



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

Theorem:

$C^*(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$ are Morita-Rieffel equivalent.
Moreover, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an equivalence bimodule between $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$.

Theorem:

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$, i.e. there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle \cdot g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f \langle g_i, g_i \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ}.$$

$$\wedge \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle g_i, f \rangle \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle$$



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

Theorem:

$C^*(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$ are Morita-Rieffel equivalent.
Moreover, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an equivalence bimodule between $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$.

Theorem:

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$, i.e. there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle \cdot g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f \langle g_i, g_i \rangle \wedge^\circ.$$

$$\wedge \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle g_i, f \rangle \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle$$



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

Theorem:

$C^*(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$ are Morita-Rieffel equivalent.
Moreover, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an equivalence bimodule between $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$.

Theorem:

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$, i.e. there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle \cdot g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f \langle g_i, g_i \rangle \wedge^\circ.$$

$$\wedge \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle g_i, f \rangle \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle$$



Frames for Hilbert and Banach spaces

- A sequence $g_j : j \in J$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a **frame** if there exists positive constants A, B such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$A\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} |\langle f, g_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (1)$$

- **analysis operator:** $Cf = \{\langle f, g_j \rangle : j \in J\}$



Frames for Hilbert and Banach spaces

- A sequence $g_j : j \in J$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a **frame** if there exists positive constants A, B such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$A\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} |\langle f, g_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (1)$$

- **analysis operator:** $Cf = \{\langle f, g_j \rangle : j \in J\}$
- **synthesis operator:** $Dc = \sum_{j \in J} c_j g_j$ for $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j \in J}$



Frames for Hilbert and Banach spaces

- A sequence $g_j : j \in J$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a **frame** if there exists positive constants A, B such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$A\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} |\langle f, g_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (1)$$

- **analysis operator:** $Cf = \{\langle f, g_j \rangle : j \in J\}$
- **synthesis operator:** $Dc = \sum_{j \in J} c_j g_j$ for $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j \in J}$
- **frame operator:** $Sf = DCf = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f, g_j \rangle g_j$



Frames for Hilbert and Banach spaces

- A sequence $g_j : j \in J$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a **frame** if there exists positive constants A, B such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$A\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} |\langle f, g_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (1)$$

- **analysis operator:** $Cf = \{\langle f, g_j \rangle : j \in J\}$
- **synthesis operator:** $Dc = \sum_{j \in J} c_j g_j$ for $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j \in J}$
- **frame operator:** $Sf = DCf = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f, g_j \rangle g_j$



Frames for Hilbert and Banach spaces

- A sequence $g_j : j \in J$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a **frame** if there exists positive constants A, B such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$A\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} |\langle f, g_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (1)$$

- **analysis operator:** $Cf = \{\langle f, g_j \rangle : j \in J\}$
- **synthesis operator:** $Dc = \sum_{j \in J} c_j g_j$ for $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j \in J}$
- **frame operator:** $Sf = DCf = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f, g_j \rangle g_j$

Basic fact: $\{g_j : j \in J\}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{H} \Leftrightarrow$, then the frame operator S is a positive invertible operator on $\mathcal{H}$.



Frames for Hilbert and Banach spaces

- A sequence $g_j : j \in J$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a **frame** if there exists positive constants A, B such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$A\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} |\langle f, g_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (1)$$

- **analysis operator:** $Cf = \{\langle f, g_j \rangle : j \in J\}$
- **synthesis operator:** $Dc = \sum_{j \in J} c_j g_j$ for $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j \in J}$
- **frame operator:** $Sf = DCf = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f, g_j \rangle g_j$

Basic fact: $\{g_j : j \in J\}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{H} \Leftrightarrow$, then the frame operator S is a positive invertible operator on $\mathcal{H}$.



Frames for Hilbert and Banach spaces

Another useful reformulation of the notion of frames:

Let $g \otimes h$ be the rank one operator defined by $(g \otimes h)f = \langle f, g \rangle h$. Then

$$A \cdot I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \sum_{j \in J} g_j \otimes g_j \leq B \cdot I_{\mathcal{H}},$$

the series converges in the strong operator topology.

Frames are of relevance because they allow the construction of (non-orthogonal) expansions.

- If $\{g_j : j \in J\}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{H}$, then $\{S^{-1}g_j : j \in J\}$ is a frame with frame bounds B^{-1}, A^{-1} $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f, S^{-1}g_j \rangle g_j. \quad (2)$$



Frames for Hilbert and Banach spaces

Another useful reformulation of the notion of frames:

Let $g \otimes h$ be the rank one operator defined by $(g \otimes h)f = \langle f, g \rangle h$. Then

$$A \cdot I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \sum_{j \in J} g_j \otimes g_j \leq B \cdot I_{\mathcal{H}},$$

the series converges in the strong operator topology.

Frames are of relevance because they allow the construction of (non-orthogonal) expansions.

- If $\{g_j : j \in J\}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{H}$, then $\{S^{-1}g_j : j \in J\}$ is a frame with frame bounds B^{-1}, A^{-1} $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f, S^{-1}g_j \rangle g_j. \quad (2)$$



Gabor frames

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda) = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a **Gabor system**.

- analysis operator: $C_g f = (\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$



Gabor frames

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda) = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a **Gabor system**.

- **analysis operator:** $C_g f = (\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$
- **synthesis operator:** $D_g \mathbf{a} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda)g$



Gabor frames

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda) = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a **Gabor system**.

- **analysis operator:** $C_g f = (\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$
- **synthesis operator:** $D_g \mathbf{a} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda)g$
- **frame operator:** $S_{g, \Lambda} f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)g$



Gabor frames

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda) = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a **Gabor system**.

- **analysis operator:** $C_g f = (\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$
- **synthesis operator:** $D_g \mathbf{a} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda)g$
- **frame operator:** $S_{g, \Lambda} f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)g$
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a **frame** for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $S_{g, \Lambda}$ is invertible on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Gabor frames

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda) = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a **Gabor system**.

- **analysis operator:** $C_g f = (\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$
- **synthesis operator:** $D_g \mathbf{a} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda)g$
- **frame operator:** $S_{g, \Lambda} f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)g$
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a **frame** for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $S_{g, \Lambda}$ is invertible on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Gabor frames

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda) = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a **Gabor system**.

- **analysis operator:** $C_g f = (\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$
- **synthesis operator:** $D_g \mathbf{a} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda)g$
- **frame operator:** $S_{g, \Lambda} f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)g$
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a **frame** for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $S_{g, \Lambda}$ is invertible on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$A\|f\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_2^2$$



Gabor frames

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda) = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a **Gabor system**.

- **analysis operator:** $C_g f = (\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$
- **synthesis operator:** $D_g \mathbf{a} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda)g$
- **frame operator:** $S_{g, \Lambda} f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)g$
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a **frame** for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $S_{g, \Lambda}$ is invertible on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$A\|f\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_2^2$$

Invertibility of $S_{g, \Lambda}$ is the main problem in Gabor analysis.



Gabor frames

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda) = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a **Gabor system**.

- **analysis operator:** $C_g f = (\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$
- **synthesis operator:** $D_g \mathbf{a} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda)g$
- **frame operator:** $S_{g, \Lambda} f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)g$
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a **frame** for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $S_{g, \Lambda}$ is invertible on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$A\|f\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_2^2$$

Invertibility of $S_{g, \Lambda}$ is the main problem in Gabor analysis.



Discrete reconstruction

$$\begin{aligned} f &= S^{-1}Sf = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)S^{-1}g \rangle \pi(\lambda)g \\ &= SS^{-1}f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle \pi(\lambda)S^{-1}g \\ &= S^{-1/2}SS^{-1/2}f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)S^{-1/2}g \rangle \pi(\lambda)S^{-1/2}g. \end{aligned}$$

- **canonical dual atom** $\tilde{g} := (S_{g,g}^\Lambda)^{-1}g$
- **canonical tight atom** $h_0 := (S_{g,g}^\Lambda)^{-1/2}g$



Transmission of signals – Denis Gabor

- Let $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a *word* and a *pulse* $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then one sends

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k g(t - k).$$

- How to transmit a family $\mathbf{a} = (a^{(l)})_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of words $a^{(l)} = (a_{k,l})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$? Send $a^{(l)}$ on frequency band θl , i.e.

$$f_l(t) = M_{\theta l} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k,l} g(t - k) \right).$$



Transmission of signals – Denis Gabor

- Let $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a *word* and a *pulse* $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then one sends

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k g(t - k).$$

- How to transmit a family $\mathbf{a} = (a^{(l)})_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of words $a^{(l)} = (a_{k,l})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$? Send $a^{(l)}$ on frequency band θl , i.e.

$$f_l(t) = M_{\theta l} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k,l} g(t - k) \right).$$

- Gabor series

$$f(t) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} f_l(t) = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k,l} M_{\theta l} T_k g(t).$$



Transmission of signals – Denis Gabor

- Let $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a *word* and a *pulse* $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then one sends

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k g(t - k).$$

- How to transmit a family $\mathbf{a} = (a^{(l)})_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of words $a^{(l)} = (a_{k,l})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$? Send $a^{(l)}$ on frequency band θl , i.e.

$$f_l(t) = M_{\theta l} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k,l} g(t - k) \right).$$

- **Gabor series**

$$f(t) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} f_l(t) = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k,l} M_{\theta l} T_k g(t).$$



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori – Gabor frames

- Generators of Hilbert C^* -modules generate a **multi-window Gabor frame** for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g_i \rangle|^2.$$

- $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} < \infty \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0.$$

- Every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has a representation of the form:

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g_i \rangle \pi(\lambda)g_i$$

- $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} < \infty$ defines an important Banach space: $M_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori – Gabor frames

- Generators of Hilbert C^* -modules generate a **multi-window Gabor frame** for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g_i \rangle|^2.$$

- $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} < \infty \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0.$$

- Every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has a representation of the form:

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g_i \rangle \pi(\lambda)g_i$$

- $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} < \infty$ defines an important Banach space: $M_\zeta^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Modulation spaces

- **Modulation spaces** $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\|f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = \left(\int \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\langle f, \pi(x, \omega)g \rangle|^p (1 + |x|^2 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} dx \right)^{p/q} d\omega \right)^{1/p}$$

- $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the **minimal** is invariant under time-frequency shifts and we have that

$$\|\pi(x, \omega)g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = v_s(x, \omega) \|g\|_{M^{p,q}_s}.$$



Modulation spaces

- **Modulation spaces** $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\|f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = \left(\int \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\langle f, \pi(x, \omega)g \rangle|^p (1+|x|^2+|\omega|^2)^{s/2} d\omega \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

- $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the **minimal** is invariant under time-frequency shifts and we have that

$$\|\pi(x, \omega)g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = v_s(x, \omega) \|g\|_{M^{p,q}_s}.$$

- Modulation spaces have found applications in approximation theory, Brownian motion, PDEs, music, pseudodifferential operators, time-frequency analysis, etc.
- **Feichtinger's algebra** $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ often denoted by $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ useful space of test functions. Its dual $M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains distributions such as Dirac's delta distribution.



Modulation spaces

- **Modulation spaces** $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\|f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = \left(\int \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\langle f, \pi(x, \omega)g \rangle|^p (1+|x|^2+|\omega|^2)^{s/2} d\omega \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

- $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the **minimal** is invariant under time-frequency shifts and we have that $\|\pi(x, \omega)g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = v_s(x, \omega)\|g\|_{M^{p,q}_s}$.
- Modulation spaces have found applications in approximation theory, Brownian motion, PDEs, music, pseudodifferential operators, time-frequency analysis, etc.
- **Feichtinger's algebra** $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ often denoted by $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ useful space of test functions. Its dual $M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains distributions such as Dirac's delta distribution.
- **Sjöstrand's class** $M_s^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$: important class of symbols of pseudodifferential operators and of transmission



Modulation spaces

- **Modulation spaces** $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\|f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = \left(\int \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\langle f, \pi(x, \omega)g \rangle|^p (1+|x|^2+|\omega|^2)^{s/2} d\omega \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

- $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the **minimal** is invariant under time-frequency shifts and we have that $\|\pi(x, \omega)g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = v_s(x, \omega) \|g\|_{M^{p,q}_s}$.
- Modulation spaces have found applications in approximation theory, Brownian motion, PDEs, music, pseudodifferential operators, time-frequency analysis, etc.
- **Feichtinger's algebra** $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ often denoted by $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ useful space of test functions. Its dual $M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains distributions such as Dirac's delta distribution.
- **Sjöstrand's class** $M_s^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$: important class of symbols of pseudodifferential operators and of transmission



Function spaces as equivalence bimodule

Quote from Rieffel's seminal paper:

We need suitable spaces of functions for the construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori.



Function spaces as equivalence bimodule

Quote from Rieffel's seminal paper:

We need suitable spaces of functions for the construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori.

In the present context this means we need a space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which behaves well under both the Fourier transform and restriction to subgroups.



Function spaces as equivalence bimodule

Quote from Rieffel's seminal paper:

We need suitable spaces of functions for the construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori.

In the present context this means we need a space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which behaves well under both the Fourier transform and restriction to subgroups.

As suggested by Weil, the appropriate space is the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Schwartz functions.



Function spaces as equivalence bimodule

Quote from Rieffel's seminal paper:

We need suitable spaces of functions for the construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori.

In the present context this means we need a space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which behaves well under both the Fourier transform and restriction to subgroups.

As suggested by Weil, the appropriate space is the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Schwartz functions.

Feichtinger constructed a Banach algebra in 1980, $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, that turned out to be a very important substitute of the Schwartz space.



Function spaces as equivalence bimodule

Quote from Rieffel's seminal paper:

We need suitable spaces of functions for the construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori.

In the present context this means we need a space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which behaves well under both the Fourier transform and restriction to subgroups.

As suggested by Weil, the appropriate space is the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Schwartz functions.

Feichtinger constructed a Banach algebra in 1980, $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, that turned out to be a very important substitute of the Schwartz space. Shortly, after he introduced the class of modulation spaces $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and it turned out that $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the modulation space $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Function spaces as equivalence bimodule

Quote from Rieffel's seminal paper:

We need suitable spaces of functions for the construction of equivalence bimodules between noncommutative tori.

In the present context this means we need a space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which behaves well under both the Fourier transform and restriction to subgroups.

As suggested by Weil, the appropriate space is the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Schwartz functions.

Feichtinger constructed a Banach algebra in 1980, $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, that turned out to be a very important substitute of the Schwartz space. Shortly, after he introduced the class of modulation spaces $M^{p,q}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and it turned out that $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the modulation space $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an equivalence bimodule between $\mathcal{A}q_s(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$.

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$, i.e. there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n$ in $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle \cdot g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f \langle g_i, g_i \rangle \wedge^\circ.$$



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an equivalence bimodule between $\mathcal{A}q_s(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$.

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$, i.e. there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n$ in $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle \cdot g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f \langle g_i, g_i \rangle \wedge^\circ.$$

$$\wedge \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle g_i, f \rangle \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle$$

for all f in $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an equivalence bimodule between $\mathcal{A}q_s(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$.

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$, i.e. there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n$ in $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle \cdot g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f \langle g_i, g_i \rangle \wedge^\circ.$$

$$\wedge \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle g_i, f \rangle \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle$$

for all f in $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}(g_1, \dots, g_n, \wedge)$ is a multi-window



Vector bundles over noncommutative tori

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an equivalence bimodule between $\mathcal{A}q_s(\Lambda, c)$ and $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$.

Theorem:

$M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$, i.e. there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n$ in $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle \cdot g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f \langle g_i, g_i \rangle \wedge^\circ.$$

$$\wedge \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \wedge \langle g_i, f \rangle \wedge \langle f, g_i \rangle$$

for all f in $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}(g_1, \dots, g_n, \Lambda)$ is a **multi-window**



Structure of projective modules over noncommutative tori

- Suppose $\text{vol}(\Lambda) \in [n-1, n)$. Then V_Λ has n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Proof relies on duality theory of Gabor frames.



Structure of projective modules over noncommutative tori

- Suppose $\text{vol}(\Lambda) \in [n-1, n)$. Then V_Λ has n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Proof relies on duality theory of Gabor frames.
- $\dim_{\mathcal{A}^\infty}(M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1}(\|g_1\|_2^2 + \dots + \|g_n\|_2^2) = \frac{n}{\text{vol}(\Lambda)}$



Structure of projective modules over noncommutative tori

- Suppose $\text{vol}(\Lambda) \in [n-1, n)$. Then V_Λ has n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Proof relies on duality theory of Gabor frames.
- $\dim_{\mathcal{A}^\infty}(M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1}(\|g_1\|_2^2 + \dots \|g_n\|_2^2) = \frac{n}{\text{vol}(\Lambda)}$
- Feichtinger-Kaiblinger showed in particular that the set of Gabor frames $\mathcal{G}(g_0, \Lambda)$ with $g_0 \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is open, i.e. there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for $\|g - g_0\|_{M_s^1} < \varepsilon$ then $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is also a Gabor frame.



Structure of projective modules over noncommutative tori

- Suppose $\text{vol}(\Lambda) \in [n-1, n)$. Then V_Λ has n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Proof relies on duality theory of Gabor frames.
- $\dim_{\mathcal{A}^\infty}(M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1}(\|g_1\|_2^2 + \dots \|g_n\|_2^2) = \frac{n}{\text{vol}(\Lambda)}$
- Feichtinger-Kaiblinger showed in particular that the set of Gabor frames $\mathcal{G}(g_0, \Lambda)$ with $g_0 \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is open, i.e. there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for $\|g - g_0\|_{M_s^1} < \varepsilon$ then $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is also a Gabor frame.
- The same holds true for close lattices $\|\Lambda - \Lambda'\| < \delta$.
- Note, that $\|g - g_0\|_\Lambda \leq C\|g - g_0\|_{M_s^1} < \varepsilon$
- These results are the starting point for understanding the quantum metric structure of vector bundles over noncommutative tori.



Structure of projective modules over noncommutative tori

- Suppose $\text{vol}(\Lambda) \in [n-1, n)$. Then V_Λ has n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Proof relies on duality theory of Gabor frames.
- $\dim_{\mathcal{A}^\infty}(M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) = \text{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1}(\|g_1\|_2^2 + \dots \|g_n\|_2^2) = \frac{n}{\text{vol}(\Lambda)}$
- Feichtinger-Kaiblinger showed in particular that the set of Gabor frames $\mathcal{G}(g_0, \Lambda)$ with $g_0 \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is open, i.e. there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for $\|g - g_0\|_{M_s^1} < \varepsilon$ then $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is also a Gabor frame.
- The same holds true for close lattices $\|\Lambda - \Lambda'\| < \delta$.
- Note, that $\|g - g_0\|_\Lambda \leq C\|g - g_0\|_{M_s^1} < \varepsilon$
- These results are the starting point for understanding the quantum metric structure of vector bundles over noncommutative tori.



Rank-one module operators – Gabor frame operators

$\Theta_{g,h}^\wedge f = \wedge \langle f, g \rangle \cdot h$ and its adjoint is $\Theta_{h,g}^\wedge$, aka **Gabor frame-type operators** $S_{g,h}^\wedge$

There are various criteria about the invertibility of the Gabor frame operator.



Rank-one module operators – Gabor frame operators

$\Theta_{g,h}^\Lambda f = \Lambda \langle f, g \rangle \cdot h$ and its adjoint is $\Theta_{h,g}^\Lambda$, aka **Gabor frame-type operators** $S_{g,h}^\Lambda$

There are various criteria about the invertibility of the Gabor frame operator.

- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if the analysis operator C_g^Λ is one-to-one from $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ to $\ell^\infty(\Lambda)$.



Gabor frame operators – Gabor frame operators

$\Theta_{g,h}^\Lambda f = \Lambda \langle f, g \rangle \cdot h$ and its adjoint is $\Theta_{h,g}^\Lambda$, aka **Gabor frame-type operators** $S_{g,h}^\Lambda$

There are various criteria about the invertibility of the Gabor frame operator.

- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if the analysis operator C_g^Λ is one-to-one from $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ to $\ell^\infty(\Lambda)$.
- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if the synthesis operator D_g^Λ is one-to-one from $\ell^\infty(\Lambda)$ to $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Rank-one module operators – Gabor frame operators

$\Theta_{g,h}^\Lambda f = \Lambda \langle f, g \rangle \cdot h$ and its adjoint is $\Theta_{h,g}^\Lambda$, aka **Gabor frame-type operators** $S_{g,h}^\Lambda$

There are various criteria about the invertibility of the Gabor frame operator.

- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if the analysis operator C_g^Λ is one-to-one from $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ to $\ell^\infty(\Lambda)$.
- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if the synthesis operator D_g^Λ is one-to-one from $\ell^\infty(\Lambda)$ to $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Rank-one module operators – Gabor frame operators

- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator has as Weyl symbol $\sigma_{g,\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda W(g, g) \in M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, where $W(g, g)(x, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - \frac{t}{2}) \overline{g}(x + \frac{t}{2}) e^{-2\pi i \omega t} dt$ is the Wigner distribution.

Then $\Theta_{g,g}^\wedge$ is invertible if and only if $\sigma_{g,\Lambda}$ is invertible in $M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with respect to twisted convolution.



Rank-one module operators – Gabor frame operators

- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator has as Weyl symbol $\sigma_{g,\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda W(g, g) \in M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, where $W(g, g)(x, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - \frac{t}{2}) \overline{g}(x + \frac{t}{2}) e^{-2\pi i \omega t} dt$ is the Wigner distribution.
Then $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if $\sigma_{g,\Lambda}$ is invertible in $M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with respect to twisted convolution.
- Suppose $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2}$ defines an equivalent norm for $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that



Rank-one module operators – Gabor frame operators

- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator has as Weyl symbol $\sigma_{g,\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda W(g, g) \in M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, where $W(g, g)(x, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - \frac{t}{2}) \overline{g}(x + \frac{t}{2}) e^{-2\pi i \omega t} dt$ is the Wigner distribution.
Then $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if $\sigma_{g,\Lambda}$ is invertible in $M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with respect to twisted convolution.
- Suppose $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2}$ defines an equivalent norm for $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{M_s^1} \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} \leq C \|f\|_{M_s^1}$$



Gabor frame operators – Gabor frame operators

- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator has as Weyl symbol $\sigma_{g,\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda W(g, g) \in M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, where $W(g, g)(x, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - \frac{t}{2}) \overline{g}(x + \frac{t}{2}) e^{-2\pi i \omega t} dt$ is the Wigner distribution.
Then $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if $\sigma_{g,\Lambda}$ is invertible in $M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with respect to twisted convolution.
- Suppose $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2}$ defines an equivalent norm for $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{M_s^1} \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} \leq C \|f\|_{M_s^1}$$

- In particular,

$$\|g\|_\Lambda^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle g, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} \leq C \|g\|_{M_s^1}$$



Rank-one module operators – Gabor frame operators

- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator has as Weyl symbol $\sigma_{g,\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda W(g, g) \in M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, where $W(g, g)(x, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - \frac{t}{2}) \overline{g}(x + \frac{t}{2}) e^{-2\pi i \omega t} dt$ is the Wigner distribution.
Then $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if $\sigma_{g,\Lambda}$ is invertible in $M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with respect to twisted convolution.
- Suppose $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2}$ defines an equivalent norm for $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{M_s^1} \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} \leq C \|f\|_{M_s^1}$$

- In particular,

$$\|g\|_\Lambda^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle g, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} \leq C \|g\|_{M_s^1}.$$



Rank-one module operators – Gabor frame operators

- For $g \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Gabor frame operator has as Weyl symbol $\sigma_{g,\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda W(g, g) \in M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, where $W(g, g)(x, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - \frac{t}{2}) \overline{g}(x + \frac{t}{2}) e^{-2\pi i \omega t} dt$ is the Wigner distribution.
Then $\Theta_{g,g}^\Lambda$ is invertible if and only if $\sigma_{g,\Lambda}$ is invertible in $M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with respect to twisted convolution.
- Suppose $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2}$ defines an equivalent norm for $M_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{M_s^1} \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} \leq C \|f\|_{M_s^1}$$

- In particular,

$$\|g\|_\Lambda^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle g, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle| (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{s/2} \leq C \|g\|_{M_s^1}.$$



Janssen-Ron-Shen duality theorem

Line bundles over noncommutative tori have a rich structure:

- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a Gabor frame with frame constants A, B for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda^\circ)$ is a Riesz basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. if there exist positive constants $C, D > 0$ such that for all finite sequences $(a_{\lambda^\circ})_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ}$ we have that

$$C \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} |a_{\lambda^\circ}|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} a_{\lambda^\circ} \pi(\lambda^\circ) g \right\|_2^2 \leq D \sum_{\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ} |a_{\lambda^\circ}|^2.$$

In fact, the corresponding condition numbers are equal, i.e. $B/A = D/C$.

This fact lies at the heart of real-world applications, e.g. wireless communication.



Projections in noncommutative tori

Recall p is called a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ be a Gabor system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Projections in noncommutative tori

Recall p is called a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ be a Gabor system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $p_g = \wedge \langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:



Projections in noncommutative tori

Recall p is called a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ be a Gabor system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $p_g = \Lambda \langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:

- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a tight Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Projections in noncommutative tori

Recall p is called a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ be a Gabor system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $p_g = \Lambda \langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:

- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a tight Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda^\circ)$ is an orthogonal system.



Projections in noncommutative tori

Recall p is called a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ be a Gabor system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $p_g = \Lambda \langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:

- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a tight Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda^\circ)$ is an orthogonal system.
- $\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = I$.



Projections in noncommutative tori

Recall p is called a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ be a Gabor system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $p_g = \Lambda \langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:

- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a tight Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda^\circ)$ is an orthogonal system.
- $\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = I$.
- $\langle g, \pi(\lambda^\circ)g \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda) \delta_{\lambda^\circ, 0}$ for all $\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ$.



Projections in noncommutative tori

Recall p is called a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ be a Gabor system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $p_g = \Lambda \langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:

- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a tight Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda^\circ)$ is an orthogonal system.
- $\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = I$.
- $\langle g, \pi(\lambda^\circ)g \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)\delta_{\lambda^\circ, 0}$ for all $\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ$.



Projections in noncommutative tori

Recall p is called a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ be a Gabor system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $p_g = \Lambda \langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:

- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a tight Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda^\circ)$ is an orthogonal system.
- $\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = I$.
- $\langle g, \pi(\lambda^\circ)g \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)\delta_{\lambda^\circ, 0}$ for all $\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ$.

In particular the canonical tight Gabor atom $h_0 := (S_{g,g}^\Lambda)^{-1/2}g$ yields a projection p_{h_0} in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$.



Projections in noncommutative tori

Recall p is called a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Theorem:

Let $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ be a Gabor system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $p_g = \wedge \langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:

- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ is a tight Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda^\circ)$ is an orthogonal system.
- $\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = I$.
- $\langle g, \pi(\lambda^\circ)g \rangle = \text{vol}(\Lambda)\delta_{\lambda^\circ, 0}$ for all $\lambda^\circ \in \Lambda^\circ$.

In particular the canonical tight Gabor atom $h_0 := (S_{g,g}^\wedge)^{-1/2}g$ yields a projection p_{h_0} in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$.



Projections in noncommutative tori – continued

Lema:

Let g be in ${}_{\Lambda}V_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. Then $P_g := {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if $g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} = g$. If $g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then P_g gives a projection in $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$ or $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}(\Lambda, c)$, respectively.

First we assume that $g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} = g$ for some g in ${}_{\Lambda}V_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. Then we have that

$$P_g^2 = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle g, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}}, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$$

and $P_g^* = P_g$.



Projections in noncommutative tori – continued

Lema:

Let g be in ${}_{\Lambda}V_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. Then $P_g := {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if $g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} = g$. If $g \in M_s^1(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then P_g gives a projection in $\mathcal{A}_s^1(\Lambda, c)$ or $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}(\Lambda, c)$, respectively.

First we assume that $g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} = g$ for some g in ${}_{\Lambda}V_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. Then we have that

$$P_g^2 = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle g, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}}, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$$

and $P_g^* = P_g$.

Now we suppose that ${}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$. Then an elementary computation yields the assertion:



Projections in noncommutative tori – continued

Lema:

Let g be in ${}_{\Lambda}V_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. Then $P_g := {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if $g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} = g$. If $g \in M^1_s(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then P_g gives a projection in $\mathcal{A}^1_s(\Lambda, c)$ or $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}(\Lambda, c)$, respectively.

First we assume that $g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} = g$ for some g in ${}_{\Lambda}V_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. Then we have that

$$P_g^2 = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle g, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}}, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$$

and $P_g^* = P_g$.

Now we suppose that ${}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$. Then an elementary computation yields the assertion:

$${}_{\Lambda}\langle g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} - g, g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} - g \rangle = 0.$$



Projections in noncommutative tori – continued

Lema:

Let g be in ${}_{\Lambda}V_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. Then $P_g := {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if $g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} = g$. If $g \in M^1_s(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then P_g gives a projection in $\mathcal{A}^1_s(\Lambda, c)$ or $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}(\Lambda, c)$, respectively.

First we assume that $g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} = g$ for some g in ${}_{\Lambda}V_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. Then we have that

$$P_g^2 = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle g, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}}, g \rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$$

and $P_g^* = P_g$.

Now we suppose that ${}_{\Lambda}\langle g, g \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$. Then an elementary computation yields the assertion:

$${}_{\Lambda}\langle g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} - g, g\langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^{\circ}} - g \rangle = 0.$$



Consequences

The **unit sphere** of the Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$ -module V_{Λ° is defined by $\mathcal{S}(V_{\Lambda^\circ}) = \{g \in V_{\Lambda^\circ} : \langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = I\}$, which is the set of all **tight** Gabor frames.

$g_1(t) = (2)^{1/4} e^{-\pi t^2}$ a Gaussian, $g_2(t) = (\frac{\pi}{2})^{1/2} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi t)}$ the hyperbolic secant and $g_3(t) = e^{-\pi|t|}$ the two-sided exponential.



Consequences

The **unit sphere** of the Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$ -module V_{Λ° is defined by $\mathcal{S}(V_{\Lambda^\circ}) = \{g \in V_{\Lambda^\circ} : \langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = I\}$, which is the set of all **tight** Gabor frames.

$g_1(t) = (2)^{1/4} e^{-\pi t^2}$ a Gaussian, $g_2(t) = (\frac{\pi}{2})^{1/2} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi t)}$ the hyperbolic secant and $g_3(t) = e^{-\pi|t|}$ the two-sided exponential.

Theorem:

Let $\Lambda = \alpha\mathbb{Z} \times \beta\mathbb{Z}$. Then $p_{g_i} = \wedge \langle g_i, g_i \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if $\alpha\beta < 1$.



Consequences

The **unit sphere** of the Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$ -module V_{Λ° is defined by $\mathcal{S}(V_{\Lambda^\circ}) = \{g \in V_{\Lambda^\circ} : \langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = I\}$, which is the set of all **tight** Gabor frames.

$g_1(t) = (2)^{1/4} e^{-\pi t^2}$ a Gaussian, $g_2(t) = (\frac{\pi}{2})^{1/2} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi t)}$ the hyperbolic secant and $g_3(t) = e^{-\pi|t|}$ the two-sided exponential.

Theorem:

Let $\Lambda = \alpha\mathbb{Z} \times \beta\mathbb{Z}$. Then $p_{g_i} = \wedge \langle g_i, g_i \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if $\alpha\beta < 1$.

The case of the Gaussian g_1 is known as **Boca's projection**. In Manin's work $p_{g_1} = \wedge \langle g_1, g_1 \rangle$ appear as **quantum theta functions**.



Consequences

The **unit sphere** of the Hilbert $C^*(\Lambda^\circ, \bar{c})$ -module V_{Λ° is defined by $\mathcal{S}(V_{\Lambda^\circ}) = \{g \in V_{\Lambda^\circ} : \langle g, g \rangle_{\Lambda^\circ} = I\}$, which is the set of all **tight** Gabor frames.

$g_1(t) = (2)^{1/4} e^{-\pi t^2}$ a Gaussian, $g_2(t) = (\frac{\pi}{2})^{1/2} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi t)}$ the hyperbolic secant and $g_3(t) = e^{-\pi|t|}$ the two-sided exponential.

Theorem:

Let $\Lambda = \alpha\mathbb{Z} \times \beta\mathbb{Z}$. Then $p_{g_i} = \wedge \langle g_i, g_i \rangle$ is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ if and only if $\alpha\beta < 1$.

The case of the Gaussian g_1 is known as **Boca's projection**. In Manin's work $p_{g_1} = \wedge \langle g_1, g_1 \rangle$ appear as **quantum theta functions**.