

MATH 607 WINTER 2017
HOMEWORK 6
 INSTRUCTOR: ROBERT LIPSHITZ

- (1) Show that the manifolds which admit genus 1 Heegaard splittings are exactly S^3 , $S^2 \times S^1$, and the lens spaces $L(p, q)$.
- (2) Every closed, orientable 3-manifold can be presented by a Heegaard diagram, and also as surgery on a framed link. Given a 3-manifold Y described as surgery on a framed link L (i.e., $Y = S^3(L)$), find a Heegaard diagram for Y . (Hint: find a collection of arcs A in S^3 so that $L \cup A$ is isotopic to a handlebody embedded in the standard way.)
Remark. Going the other direction is harder, and is due to Lickorish; see his book for a nice explanation.
- (3) Use the previous problem to draw a Heegaard diagram for $+1$ surgery on the right-handed trefoil. (This is the Poincaré homology sphere.)
- (4) Draw a Heegaard diagram for T^3 .
- (5) Given a Heegaard diagram for Y , explain how to compute $H_1(Y)$ and $H_2(Y)$.
- (6) Prove that every closed, orientable 3-manifold Y is the boundary of a compact, orientable 4-manifold. (Hint: describe Y as integer surgery on a link.)
- (7) Prove that the tangent bundle to any orientable 3-manifold is trivializable.
- (8) Homotopy classes of vector fields...
 - (a) Show that the set of homotopy classes of non-vanishing vector fields on S^3 is in canonical bijection with $\mathbb{Z}$.
 - (b) Classify homotopy classes of non-vanishing vector fields on $S^2 \times S^1$. (Hint: this is a bit tricky.)
- (9) Flesh out the details in the sketch that given a Riemann surface Σ (i.e., a smooth complex curve), $\text{Sym}^n(\Sigma)$ is naturally a complex manifold.
- (10) Given a Heegaard diagram (Σ, α, β) , prove that the intersection number of T_α and T_β is $|H_1(Y)|$ if $H_1(Y)$ is finite (i.e., Y is a rational homology sphere) and 0 if $H_1(Y)$ is infinite.
- (11) Compute $\widehat{HF}(L(p, q))$, the Heegaard Floer homology of the lens space $L(p, q)$.
- (12) Let $-Y$ denote the orientation reverse of Y . Prove that $\widehat{CF}(-Y)$ is the dual complex to $\widehat{CF}(Y)$. (Hint: take the same Heegaard diagram but with the opposite orientation and the conjugate almost complex structure.)
- (13) Let ϕ be a domain in a Heegaard diagram which is an embedded polygon with concave corners, and so that the edges are segments in $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_s, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g$ (in that order). Prove that ϕ has a unique holomorphic representative with respect to the standard complex structure on $\text{Sym}^g(\Sigma)$. Harder: prove that this representative is transversally cut out (i.e., that the standard complex structure on $\text{Sym}^g(\Sigma)$ is generic enough). (See also the appendix to [Ras02].)

Email address: lipshitz@uoregon.edu