

MATH 307 SPRING 2025
WEEK 5 HOMEWORK
DUE MAY 5

INSTRUCTOR: ROBERT LIPSHITZ

Note on your solutions who you worked with, anyone else you got help from, and any materials other than the textbooks that you used. *Electronic resources (Google, ChatGPT, Chegg, etc.) except the textbooks are **not** allowed.*

Required problems (turn these in):

- (1) Fill in the boxes in the following proof that, in a ring, $(\forall a)(\forall b)[-(a + b) = (-a) + (-b)]$.

(1)	$a + (-a) = 0$	Definition of additive inverse
(2)	$b + (-b) = 0$	Definition of additive inverse
(3)	$0 + 0 = 0$	
(4)	$(a + (-a)) + 0 = 0$	Substitution (1) into (3)
(5)	$(a + (-a)) + (b + (-b)) = 0$	
(6)	$((a + (-a)) + b) + (-b) = 0$	
(7)		
(8)	$(a + (b + (-a))) + (-b) = 0$	
(9)		Axiom (associativity of +)
(10)	$(a + b) + ((-a) + (-b)) = 0$	
(11)		Lemma: uniqueness of additive inverses
(12)		

- (2) Write the previous proof in paragraph form. (Feel free to merge some of the steps above in your paragraph version.)
- (3) Formulate precisely and then give a column proof of the following statement about elements of a ring: If an element has a multiplicative inverse, then its multiplicative inverse is unique.
- (4) Give a column proof that there is no way to put an order on $\mathbb{C}$ so that $\mathbb{C}$ becomes an ordered ring. Do this by Indirect Inference: assume there is an order $<$ on $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the axioms of an ordered ring (with the usual addition and multiplication on $\mathbb{C}$), and derive a contradiction. You may use Problems (EP-2) and (EP-3), and it's okay to skip easy logic steps.
- (5) Is it possible to use the ring axioms to prove the following statement? If so, give a proof. If not, explain precisely why not.

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)[-(x \times x + y \times y = -1)]$$

- (6) Give a paragraph proof of: An integer is divisible by 3 if and only if the sum of its digits (written in base 10) is divisible by 3. (Hint: what does it mean to write a number in base 10?)

- (7) What is the analogue of the previous problem for divisibility by 9? By 11? By 7? By 37? In each case, explain why your answer is correct *and* give a couple of examples illustrating it.
- (8) Suppose p and a are natural numbers and $\gcd(p, a) = 1$ (i.e., p and a are relatively prime). The *multiplicative order of a in $\mathbb{Z}/p$* is the smallest natural number n so that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- (a) Compute the multiplicative order of every non-zero element of $\mathbb{Z}/5$ and of every non-zero element of $\mathbb{Z}/7$.
- (b) Compute the multiplicative orders of 1, 3, 5, 7 in $\mathbb{Z}/8$.
- (c) If p is prime, then Fermat's Little Theorem gives an upper bound on the multiplicative orders of elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p$. What is it?
- (9) Fill in the following proof that: If p is a prime and $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ has multiplicative order $p-1$, then any non-zero element of $\mathbb{Z}/p$ is equal to a^k for some k .

First, we show that for all k , $a^k \neq 0$ (in $\mathbb{Z}/p$). So, suppose that $\boxed{}$. (That is, we are arguing by $\boxed{}$.) If $a^k = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p$, then $\boxed{}$. So, $p|a(a^{k-1})$. By $\boxed{}$, either $p|a$ or $p|a^{k-1}$. Repeating this argument with a^{k-1} in place of a^k , we eventually see that $p|a$. But then $\boxed{}$, so $\boxed{}$. Thus, $a^k \neq 0$.

Now, since $\mathbb{Z}/p$ has p many elements and we showed 0 is not a power of a , it suffices to show that $\{a, a^2, a^3, \dots, a^{p-1}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/p$ has exactly $\boxed{}$ many elements. So, suppose that $\boxed{}$. (We are again using $\boxed{}$.) Then $a^m = a^n$ for some $1 \leq m < n \leq p-1$. Multiplying both sides by $\boxed{}$ gives $\boxed{}$. But this implies that the order of a is at most $\boxed{}$. Since, by hypothesis, the order of a is $\boxed{}$, this is a contradiction. Hence, $\boxed{}$ has $\boxed{}$ many elements and so $\{a, a^2, \dots, a^{p-1}\} = \boxed{}$, proving the result.

- (10) An integer $n > 1$ is a *Carmichael number* if for every $1 \leq a \leq n-1$, $a^n \equiv a \pmod{n}$. Give a paragraph proof that there are no even Carmichael numbers. (Hint: what special elements of $\mathbb{Z}/n$ can you quickly raise to powers?) (Note: it was only proved in the 1990s that there are infinitely many Carmichael numbers.¹)

Extra Practice. Do not turn these in, but if you had trouble with some of the required problems, here are some more to practice. I will post solutions to some of these.

- (EP-0) Recall that $\mathbb{C} = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$, with addition given by $(a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + (b + d)i$ and multiplication given by $(a + bi)(c + di) = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i$. (More formally, we can view $\mathbb{C}$ as $\mathbb{R}^2$ with addition $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$ and multiplication given by $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac - bd, ad + bc)$. When we write elements of $\mathbb{C}$ as $a + bi$, i and the plus sign are place-holders, like the comma in an ordered pair.) Assuming that we already know $\mathbb{R}$ is a ring, check that $\mathbb{C}$ is a ring and, in fact, a field. (You have 9 properties to check, but they're all quick. You don't have to be

¹W. R. Alford, Andrew Granville and Carl Pomerance, "There are Infinitely Many Carmichael Numbers." *Annals of Mathematics* Vol. 139, No. 3 (May, 1994), pp. 703-722.

careful about parenthesizing products of real numbers—apply associativity for real numbers as much as you like. And, I'm not asking for column proofs here.)

- (EP-0) Give a column proof: in an ordered ring R , $a < 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 < (-a)$.
- (EP-0) Give a column proof: in a nontrivial ordered ring R , $0 < 1$.
- (EP-0) Prove: In the ring $\mathbb{Z}/3$, $(x + y)^3 = x^3 + y^3$. What is the analogous statement over $\mathbb{Z}/2$? Over $\mathbb{Z}/5$?
- (EP-0) Turn the statement proved in Problem (9) into a formula (with quantifiers and so on).
- (EP-0) Suppose that $n = m^2k$ for some natural numbers m and k with $m > 1$. Prove that n is not a Carmichael number. Also, why did I have to include the assumption that $m > 1$?
- (EP-0) Given prime numbers p and q , define $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ to be 1 if p is a square modulo q and -1 if p is not a square modulo q .
- (a) What is $\left(\frac{7}{11}\right)$? $\left(\frac{11}{7}\right)$? $\left(\frac{5}{11}\right)$? $\left(\frac{11}{5}\right)$? $\left(\frac{5}{13}\right)$? $\left(\frac{13}{5}\right)$?
- (b) Gauss's famous *Quadratic Reciprocity Theorem* says that

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}\frac{q-1}{2}}.$$

Verify that Quadratic Reciprocity held in the examples above, and use it to determine if 113 is a square modulo 257.

Email address: lipshitz@uoregon.edu